

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/905,335		07/13/2001	Cyprian Emeka Uzoh	042496 0276090	6975
20995	7590	01/11/2005		EXAMINER	
		NS OLSON & BEA	MAYEKAR, KISHOR		
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN	-	OR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA 92614				1753	
,					

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

× •		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/905,335	UZOH ET AL.
•	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kishor Mayekar	1753
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 27 December 2004 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment wo (2) oeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a ti	olication. A proper reply to a which places the application in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	e of the final rejection. FHE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	lension and the corresponding amount of ned statutory period for reply originally set	the fee. The appropriate extension fee under in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	l because:	
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or search	h (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding number of	of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: New issue on claims 33-36.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s): <u>33-36 under the 2nd p</u>	aragraph of 35 USC 112.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>37-43</u> wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request application in condition for allowance because:		nsidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed SOLEI	Y to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/ \$:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: 37-43.		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 44-46.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•	
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ a	pproved or b) disapproved t	by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)). <u> </u>
0. Other:		Calhayet
		Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1753

S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons as of record and further TSAI '250 discloses the selective removing of the seed layer from above the layer of dielectric, using a CMP process, leaving the seed layer intact and deposited over the inside surfaces of the opening (see Fig.7) and the selection of any of known equivalent CMP procees as suggested by TSAI '706 or UZOH would have been within the level of ordinary skill in the art, as asserted by the examiner in the final Office action.